Partisan Trust in the Federal Reserve

Carola Binder Cody Couture Abhiprerna Smit UT Austin Hamilton College William and Mary

January 2025

The Partisan Gap in Trust in the Fed Chair



Gallup Poll data. Shows the percent of respondents who answered "A great deal" or "Fair amount" when questioned about how much confidence they have in the Federal Reserve Chair.

Why Might Partisanship Affect Trust? And Why Does it Matter?

- Trust in economic institutions in general may correspond to trust in the administration.
- Politicians and/or the media might criticize the Fed particularly when the party they support does not hold the presidency.
- Consumers may believe that the President influences monetary policy.
 - May lack knowledge about *de jure* central bank independence.
 - May have doubts about *de facto* central bank independence.
- Potentially troubling sign for central bank credibility.
- Potentially explains partisan gap in inflation expectations.

- Striking partisan gap in inflation expectations, especially in recent years
- One of the defining features of expectations in the COVID era (Binder, Kamdar, and Ryngaert 2024)

- Document partisanship in central bank trust using pre-existing surveys (Gallup Poll and Michigan Survey).
 - Higher trust when preferred party holds presidency.
 - Independents often the least trusting.
 - Partisan effects larger in recent years.
 - Partisan effects larger than other demographic effects.
 - But controlling for central bank trust only slightly moderates the partisan gap in inflation expectations.
- New survey to collect more recent and detailed evidence.
 - To be conducted before and after Presidential inauguration.
 - RCT with treatments about central bank governance and independence.

- Gallup Monthly Poll and Michigan Survey of Consumers both occasionally ask questions about partisanship, central bank trust, and inflation expectations.
- We categorize respondents as Republicans if they report that they are Republican or lean closer to the Republican Party, and analogously for Democrats. All others are Independent.
- Wording of trust and expectations questions varies across surveys.

Political Affiliation	
Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a Republican,	Monthly
a Democrat, an Independent, or what?	since 2017
If Independent: Do you think of yourself as closer to the	
Republican Party or to the Democratic Party?"	
Fed Trust	
Compared with five years ago, do you have a lot more confidence now, a	Nov. and Dec. 2017
little more confidence now, a little less confidence now, a lot less confidence now,	Sep. and Oct. 2019
or has your confidence in The Federal Reserve System remained about the same?	Sep. and Oct. 2021
Inflation and Unemployment Expectations	
During the next 12 months, do you think that prices in general will go up,	Monthly
or go down, or stay where they are now?	
How about people out of work during the coming 12 months — do you	Monthly
think that there will be more unemployment than now, about the same, or less?	

Gallup Poll April Questions

Fed Trust						
Please tell me how much confidence you have in Federal Reserve						
Chairman Jerome Powell (Janet Yellen/Ben Bernanke/Alan Greenspan)	2001 2023					
to do or to recommend the right thing for the economy – a great deal,	2001-2025					
a fair amount, only a little, or almost none.						
Inflation and Unemployment Expectations						
Over the next six months, do you think that inflation will go up a lot,	2002 2005 2014					
go up a little, remain the same, go down a little, (or) go down a lot?	2002-2003, 2014					
Over the next six months, do you think that unemployment will go up a	2002 2005 2014					
lot, go up a little, remain the same, go down a little, (or) go down a lot?	2002-2005, 2014					
Other Economic Expectations						
Right now, do you think that economic conditions in this country,	2001 2022					
as a whole, are getting better or getting worse?	2001-2025					
Right now, do you think that your financial situation as a whole	2001 2002 2022					
is getting better or getting worse?	2001, 2003-2023					

Trust in Fed by Year and Party (MSC)



Trust in Fed Balance Statistic (Gallup)



Share who report having trust minus share who report not having trust.

 $\textit{Trust}_{i,t} = \alpha_0 + \alpha \mathbf{1}_1 \textit{PresidentParty}_{i,t} + \alpha_2 \textit{OppositionParty}_{i,t} + \delta \mathbf{X}_{i,t} + \epsilon_{i,t}$

- *PresidentParty*_{*i*,*t*}: respondent shares party with the president
- *OppositionParty*_{*i*,*t*}: respondent is opposite party as president
- Omitted category is independents
- $\bullet~X_{i,t}:$ other demographic variables
- *Trust*_{*i*,*t*}: either dummy variable (linear probability model) or ordered variable (ordered probit model)

Partisan Gap in Trust (MSC)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	More	More	Less	Less	oprobit	oprobit
PresidentParty	0.063***	0.063***	-0.147***	-0.148***	0.406***	0.409***
	(4.17)	(4.15)	(-5.83)	(-5.83)	(6.82)	(6.85)
OppositionParty	0.018	0.017	0.022	0.022	0.039	0.037
	(1.23)	(1.18)	(0.87)	(0.88)	(0.65)	(0.61)
Male	0.032***	0.031***	0.004	0.005	0.028	0.023
	(2.92)	(2.85)	(0.22)	(0.29)	(0.76)	(0.63)
HighIncome	0.026**	0.026**	-0.065***	-0.065***	0.165***	0.163***
	(2.06)	(2.00)	(-3.47)	(-3.45)	(3.97)	(3.91)
N	3614	3614	3614	3614	3553	3553

Notes: *** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.10. Robust SEs in parentheses. Coefficients for age, college, and low income not shown to save space. Columns (2), (4), and (6) include time fixed effects.

Partisan Gap in Trust (Gallup)

	High Confidence		Low Co	nfidence	oprobit	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
PresidentParty	0.248***	0.238***	-0.151***	-0.146***	0.621***	0.617***
	(0.011)	(0.011)	(0.011)	(0.011)	(0.030)	(0.030)
OppositionParty	0.041***	0.034***	0.070***	0.073***	-0.002	-0.018
	(0.011)	(0.011)	(0.011)	(0.011)	(0.030)	(0.030)
Male	0.027***	0.037***	0.032***	0.025***	0.005	0.038**
	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.016)	(0.016)
College	0.102***	0.108***	-0.032***	-0.036***	0.209***	0.237***
	(0.007)	(0.007)	(0.007)	(0.006)	(0.017)	(0.017)
HighIncome	0.017^{*}	0.060***	0.006	-0.027***	-0.013	0.122***
	(0.009)	(0.009)	(0.008)	(0.008)	(0.020)	(0.021)
Ν	23139	23139	23139	23139	19680	19680
(pseudo)-R ²	0.07	0.12	0.05	0.09	0.04	0.07
Time FEs	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes

Notes: *** p< 0.01, ** p< 0.05, * p< 0.10. Robust SEs in parentheses.

	High Confidence			oprobit		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	$Yr \le 2008$	2009 - 2019	$Yr \ge 2020$	$Yr \le 2008$	2009 - 2019	$Yr \ge 2020$
PresidentParty	0.227***	0.235***	0.256***	0.502***	0.676***	0.668***
	(0.019)	(0.015)	(0.029)	(0.052)	(0.043)	(0.076)
OppositionParty	0.072***	0.039***	-0.057**	0.044	0.023	-0.240***
	(0.019)	(0.015)	(0.028)	(0.052)	(0.043)	(0.075)
N	8061	11157	3921	6961	9171	3548
(pseudo)- R^2	0.12	0.07	0.15	0.06	0.05	0.09
Time FEs	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Notes: Gallup data *** p< 0.01, ** p< 0.05, * p< 0.10. Robust SEs in parentheses.

	High Confidence			oprobit		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	$Yr \le 2008$	2009 - 2019	$Yr \ge 2020$	$Yr \le 2008$	2009 - 2019	$Yr \ge 2020$
PresidentParty	0.227***	0.235***	0.256***	0.502***	0.676***	0.668***
	(0.019)	(0.015)	(0.029)	(0.052)	(0.043)	(0.076)
OppositionParty	0.072***	0.039***	-0.057**	0.044	0.023	-0.240***
	(0.019)	(0.015)	(0.028)	(0.052)	(0.043)	(0.075)
N	8061	11157	3921	6961	9171	3548
(pseudo)- R^2	0.12	0.07	0.15	0.06	0.05	0.09
Time FEs	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Notes: Gallup data *** p< 0.01, ** p< 0.05, * p< 0.10. Robust SEs in parentheses.

- Michigan Survey also shows larger partisan gap in 2021 than in 2017-19.
- Neither survey shows "Trump tweet" effects (tweets starting July 2018).

Binder, Kamdar, and Ryngaert estimate:

 $E_{i,t}[\pi] = \beta_0 + \beta_1 President Party_{i,t} + \beta_2 Opposition Party_{i,t} + \delta \mathbf{X}_{i,t} + \epsilon_{i,t}$

- *PresidentParty*_{i,t}: respondent shares party with the president
- *OppositionParty*_{*i*,*t*}: respondent is opposite party as president
- $\bullet~X_{i,t}:$ demographic controls

Binder, Kamdar, and Ryngaert estimate:

 $E_{i,t}[\pi] = \beta_0 + \beta_1 President Party_{i,t} + \beta_2 Opposition Party_{i,t} + \delta \mathbf{X}_{i,t} + \epsilon_{i,t}$

Does controlling for trust moderate the partisan gap?

Partisan Short-Run Inflation Expectations (MSC)

	(1)	(2)	(3)
	All	2017-19	2021
PresidentParty	-1.38***	-0.77***	-2.73***
	(-5.66)	(-3.51)	(-4.63)
OppositionParty	0.92***	0.64***	1.44**
	(3.63)	(2.90)	(2.29)
Male	-0.50***	-0.36**	-1.34***
	(-3.03)	(-2.49)	(-3.31)
College	-0.53***	-0.08	-1.02**
	(-3.03)	(-0.51)	(-2.34)
HighIncome	-0.43***	-0.58***	-0.12
	(-2.60)	(-4.06)	(-0.29)
N	3298	2225	1073

Notes: *** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.10. Robust SEs in parentheses. Coefficients for age and low income not shown to save space. All include time fixed effects.

Partisan Short-Run Inflation Expectations with Controls for Confidence (MSC)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	All	2017-19	2021	All	2017-19	2021
PresidentParty	-1.38***	-0.77***	-2.73***	-1.11^{***}	-0.71***	-2.02***
	(-5.66)	(-3.51)	(-4.63)	(-4.69)	(-3.21)	(-3.63)
OppositionParty	0.92***	0.64***	1.44**	0.98***	0.71***	0.99*
	(3.63)	(2.90)	(2.29)	(3.96)	(3.20)	(1.65)
Little less				-1.38***	-0.75***	-1.91^{***}
				(-5.09)	(-3.14)	(-3.30)
Same conf.				-1.77***	-0.62***	-3.40***
				(-7.23)	(-2.70)	(-6.35)
Little more				-2.01***	-0.71**	-3.85***
				(-6.65)	(-2.55)	(-5.23)
Much more				-2.37***	-1.05***	-4.25***
				(-5.02)	(-2.96)	(-3.39)
Ν	3298	2225	1073	3298	2225	1073

Notes: *** p< 0.01, ** p< 0.05, * p< 0.10. Time FEs and demographic controls.

Partisan Long-Run Inflation Expectations (MSC)

	(1)	(2)	(3)
	All	2017-19	2021
PresidentParty	-0.83***	-0.40**	-1.73***
	(-4.60)	(-2.40)	(-4.04)
OppositionParty	0.13	0.27	-0.18
	(0.68)	(1.55)	(-0.41)
Male	-0.32***	-0.36***	-0.46*
	(-2.79)	(-2.85)	(-1.86)
College	-0.26**	-0.17	-0.30
	(-2.15)	(-1.38)	(-1.10)
HighIncome	-0.29***	-0.44***	0.01
	(-2.66)	(-3.87)	(0.03)
N	3291	2219	1072

Notes: *** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.10. Robust SEs in parentheses. Coefficients for age and low income not shown to save space. All include time fixed effects.

Partisan Long-Run Inflation Expectations with Controls for Confidence (MSC)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	All	2017-19	2021	All	2017-19	2021
PresidentParty	-0.83***	-0.40**	-1.73***	-0.72***	-0.38**	-1.41***
	(-4.60)	(-2.40)	(-4.04)	(-4.05)	(-2.26)	(-3.43)
OppositionParty	0.13	0.27	-0.18	0.15	0.30*	-0.38
	(0.68)	(1.55)	(-0.41)	(0.81)	(1.67)	(-0.85)
Little less				-0.67***	-0.42**	-0.88**
				(-3.38)	(-2.13)	(-2.20)
Same conf.				-0.74***	-0.23	-1.53***
				(-4.13)	(-1.33)	(-4.02)
Little more				-0.85***	-0.30	-1.71^{***}
				(-4.04)	(-1.40)	(-3.77)
Much more				-1.00***	-0.64**	-1.41**
				(-3.58)	(-2.36)	(-2.15)
Ν	3291	2219	1072	3291	2219	1072

Notes: *** p< 0.01, ** p< 0.05, * p< 0.10. Time FEs and demographic controls.

Partisan Short-Run Inflation Expectations with Controls for Confidence (Gallup)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	Increase	Decrease	oprobit	Increase	Decrease	oprobit
PresidentParty	-0.111***	0.021	-0.399***	-0.113***	0.019	-0.326***
	(0.022)	(0.014)	(0.056)	(0.026)	(0.017)	(0.067)
OppositionParty	0.016	-0.007	-0.054	-0.014	-0.010	-0.052
	(0.022)	(0.013)	(0.057)	(0.026)	(0.017)	(0.068)
Only a little				-0.037	-0.019	-0.189***
				(0.025)	(0.017)	(0.073)
Fair amount				-0.072***	-0.027	-0.308***
				(0.024)	(0.017)	(0.069)
A great deal				-0.118***	-0.038**	-0.349***
				(0.027)	(0.018)	(0.072)
Ν	6040	6040	5869	4553	4553	4467
(pseudo)-R ²	0.05	0.02	0.03	0.07	0.02	0.03
Time FEs	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Notes: *** p< 0.01, ** p< 0.05, * p< 0.10. All include demographic controls.

Partisan Unemployment Expectations (MSC)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	All	2017-19	2021	All	2017-19	2021
PresidentParty	-0.730***	-0.783***	-0.623***	-0.673***	-0.748***	-0.496***
	(0.062)	(0.077)	(0.107)	(0.062)	(0.077)	(0.108)
OppositionParty	0.286***	0.320***	0.201*	0.299***	0.353***	0.131
	(0.061)	(0.075)	(0.108)	(0.061)	(0.075)	(0.108)
Little less				-0.269***	-0.183**	-0.417***
				(0.065)	(0.084)	(0.102)
Same conf.				-0.396***	-0.308***	-0.590***
				(0.057)	(0.074)	(0.092)
Little more				-0.520***	-0.380***	-0.884***
				(0.083)	(0.103)	(0.154)
Much more				-0.717***	-0.562***	-1.032***
				(0.128)	(0.160)	(0.226)
N	3529	2382	1147	3529	2382	1147
(pseudo)-R ²	0.09	0.11	0.07	0.10	0.11	0.09

Notes: Ordered probit with time FEs and demographic controls. Unemployment less=1, same=2, more=3.

- Conducted on Prolific.
- First wave on January 20, 2025 and second shortly after inauguration.
- Around 2500 respondents, all at least 18 years old.
- Prolific aims for a nationally-representative sample in terms of sex, age, political affiliation, and ethnicity.

- Demographics
- Perceptions and expectations about their personal financial situation
- Expectations of inflation, unemployment, and interest rates (FRBNY wording).
- Self-rated familiarity with the Fed, satisfaction with the Fed's COVID response, and satisfaction with the Fed's control of inflation over the past 3 years, all on a scale of 0 to 10.

Information Treatments

- Members of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System are nominated by the President and must then be approved by a majority of the Senate. The most recent Federal Reserve Chair was appointed by President Trump and then re-appointed by President Biden.
- Members of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System are nominated by the President and must then be approved by a majority of the Senate. Recent nominations have been approved on increasingly partisan lines.
- Members of the press have speculated that President Trump might try to replace Federal Reserve Chair Jerome Powell early in his presidency.
- Members of the press have speculated that President Trump might try to replace Federal Reserve Chair Jerome Powell early in his presidency. Powell has stated that he would not resign if President Trump asked him to do so.

- "How much do you trust the Federal Reserve in its ability to stabilize inflation?" 0 (do not trust at all) to 10 (fully trust)
- "Do you believe that the Federal Reserve (Fed) is independent of political interference?" 0 (not at all independent) to 10 (fully independent)
- Density forecasts of inflation
- "How do you expect the election results will influence the level of inflation?" They can choose "increase," "decrease," or "no impact," and can also provide an open-ended explanation of their response in a text box.
- Political affiliation

- Partisan differences in trust in the Fed are not the main driver of partisan differences in economic expectations.
- We welcome input about the survey before we run it!